Plaintiff,

-v- No. 19-CV-3007-LTS-DCF

LOCO FURNITURE CORP, et al.,

Defendants.

-----X

## MEMORANDUM ORDER

The Court has received and reviewed Plaintiff's request for approval of the proposed settlement agreement in this Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA") case. (See Docket Entry No. 12.) "[B]efore a district court enters judgment [on an FLSA settlement agreement], it must scrutinize the settlement agreement to determine that the settlement is fair and reasonable." Wolinsky v. Scholastic Inc., 900 F. Supp. 2d 332, 335 (S.D.N.Y. 2012). "The ultimate question is whether the proposed settlement reflects a fair and reasonable compromise of disputed issues rather than a mere waiver of statutory rights brought about by an employer's overreaching." Id. (internal quotation marks and citation omitted). In determining whether a settlement is fair and reasonable, a court considers the totality of the circumstances, encompassing a range of factors including: "(1) the plaintiff's range of possible recovery; (2) the extent to which the settlement will enable the parties to avoid anticipated burdens and expenses in establishing their respective claims and defenses; (3) the seriousness of the litigation risks faced by the parties; (4) whether the settlement agreement is the product of arm's-length bargaining between experienced counsel; and (5) the possibility of fraud or collusion." Id. (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

"Generally, there is a strong presumption in favor of finding a settlement fair, as the Court is

generally not in as good a position as the parties to determine the reasonableness of an FLSA

settlement." Lliguichuzhca v. Cinema 60, LLC, 948 F. Supp. 2d 362, 365 (S.D.N.Y. 2013)

(internal quotation marks and citation omitted). Moreover, following the Second Circuit's

decision in Cheeks v. Freeport Pancake House, Inc., 796 F.3d 199, 206 (2d Cir. 2015), parties

may not privately settle FLSA claims without approval of either the district court or the

Department of Labor. In dicta in Cheeks, the Second Circuit expressed hesitation with respect to

the validity of settlement agreements containing confidentiality provisions, general releases or

excessive attorneys' fees. See generally id.

The Court has carefully considered Plaintiff's submission, which includes the

parties' settlement agreement. (See Docket Entry No. 12.) In light of the factors articulated

above, as well as the Court's review of the agreement and the representations as set forth in

Plaintiff's motion for settlement approval, the Court finds that the proposed settlement agreement,

including the attorneys' fees and expense award component, is fair and reasonable and that it

satisfies the requirements of Cheeks. The Court directs, however, that the settlement checks be

sent to Jessenia Maldonado, Plaintiff's counsel of record, rather than Alex Umansky (as indicated

in section 4(c) of the parties' settlement agreement), as Mr. Umansky has withdrawn as counsel of

record. The parties are directed to submit their Stipulation of Dismissal by December 19, 2019.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: New York, New York

December 5, 2019

/s/ Laura Taylor Swain

LAURA TAYLOR SWAIN

United States District Judge